



sasi INSTITUTE OF
autonomous TECHNOLOGY &
ENGINEERING

Accredited by **NAAC** with "**A**" Grade,
Recognised by **UGC** under section 2(f) & 12(B)
Approved by **AICTE** - New Delhi
Permanently Affiliated to **JNTUK, SBTET**,
Ranked as "**A**" Grade by Govt. of A.P.,

Outcome Based Education Assessment Manual



Near NIT-AP | Tadepalligudem | West Godavari District

Andhra Pradesh - 534 101

Web: www.sasi.ac.in

Course Outcomes Assessment Process

Student learning outcomes articulate what a student should know or can do after completing a course or program. The assessment of student learning outcomes provides information that puts student learning at the forefront of academic planning processes

Target for COs:

Targets for each course outcome is set as the average value of the mapping of all POs corresponding to a course. Sample sheet showing the target value calculation for Engineering Mathematics - I

| Course | CO | POs | | | | | | | | | | | | Target |
|------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|--------|
| | | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 | |
| Engineering Mathematics-I | C112.1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| | C112.2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| | C112.3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| | C112.4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| | C112.5 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| | C112.6 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |

Assessment tools are categorized into two methods to assess the course outcomes as direct methods and indirect methods.

O **Direct methods** display the student's knowledge and skills from their performance in the continuous internal assessment tests, assignments, semester examinations, seminars, and class room and laboratory tests, etc. These methods provide a sample of what students know and/or can do and provide strong evidence of student learning.

O **Indirect methods** such as surveys and interviews ask the stakeholders to reflect on student's learning. They assess opinions or thoughts about the graduate's knowledge or skills of different stakeholders.

Direct Assessment:

Direct attainment of COs can be determined from the performances of students in all the relevant assessment instruments. Mainly it is divided in to two categories and they are

- Internal Assessment
- External Assessment.

30% of weightage to internal assessment and 70% weightage to external assessment are assigned.

Internal Assessment:

Internal Assessment methods and their description are given in the following table

Table 1: Internal Assessment Tools

| S. No. | Internal Assessment Method | Description |
|--------|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Mid Examinations | As per the institute regulations, two mid exams will be conducted for every course in a semester. Each mid exam is conducted after every 2 months and is useful to assess the student performance at the middle and end of the semester. In mid examinations questions are given to cover the respective COs. As the information on performance in mid examination of each student for individual COs is available, the department can determine the attainment of each course outcome separately through mid-examinations. |
| 2 | Assignments | The assignment is a qualitative performance assessment tool designed to assess students' knowledge of engineering practices, framework, and problem solving. In this regulation, a total of 2 assignments will be given to the students. Assignments will be given by the faculty members themselves. Hence assignments are given to cover the entire COs. As the information on performance in assignments on each student in individual COs is available, the department can determine the attainment of each course outcome separately through assignments. |
| 3 | Online (Quiz) Examinations | Multiple Choice Questions (MCQ) based examination system provides an easy-to-use environment for both test conductors and students appearing for examination. This examination is conducted by the university and department has no provision to set the question paper and the department will have access only to the marks obtained by each student in the course. As the information on performance in online examination on each student in individual COs is not available, the attainment value is taken uniformly for the respective COs. |
| 4 | Class Tests | Class tests are to test the performance of the student regularly. Total of two class tests are conducted per semester and the marks obtained are used for assessment. These class tests are useful for assessing the Course Outcomes. |

Level of attainment for each CO is determined separately from every assessment tool and the weighted average of all the levels is taken as the final CO attainment level in internal assessment.

30% weightage of internal assessment is subdivided among all the internal assessment tools as follows

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Mid Examinations | - 15% |
| Class Tests | - 5% |
| Assignments | - 5% |
| Quiz Exam | - 5% |

Frequency of Assessment

The frequency of assessment is shown in the following table.

Table 2: Internal Assessment Frequency

| S. No. | Internal Assessment Method | Frequency |
|--------|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Mid Examinations | Two mid exams are conducted for every course in a semester. Each mid exam is conducted after every two months duration. |
| 2 | Assignments | Total of two assignments are given. Assignment 1 is given for the syllabus related to Mid-1 and Assignment 2 is given for the syllabus related to Mid-2. |
| 3 | Online (Quiz) Examinations | Two Online exams are conducted per semester along with mid exams. |
| 4 | Class Tests | Total of 2 class tests are conducted per semester. Each class test is conducted before the two weeks of Mid Exam Commencement. |

External Assessment

External assessment is determined based on the performance of the students in the exams conducted by the institute. External assessment is carried out based on

- Semester End Examination is conducted and evaluated by the institute.
- Assessment is done for individual course outcome based on the performance of the student in the semester end examination.

For the detailed assessment division, table 3 indicates the subdivision of assessment tools that are related to the individual CO.

Table 3: Subdivision of assessment components

| Course Outcome | Mid 1 | Mid 2 | Assignments | Class Tests | Quiz | Semester End Exam |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------|------------------------|
| CO1 | Q1a,Q1b or Q2a,Q2b | | A1 | C1 | Q1 | Q2a,Q2b or Q3a,Q3b |
| CO2 | Q3a,Q3b or Q4a,Q4b | | A1 | C1 | Q1 | Q4a,Q4b or Q5a,Q5b |
| CO3 | Q5a,Q5b | | A1 | C1 | Q1 | Q6a,Q6b or Q7a,Q7b |
| CO4 | | Q1a,Q1b | A2 | C2 | Q2 | Q6a,Q6b or Q7a,Q7b |
| CO5 | | Q2a,Q2b or Q3a,Q3b | A2 | C2 | Q2 | Q8a,Q8b or Q9a,Q9b |
| CO6 | | Q4a,Q4b or Q5a,Q5b | A2 | C2 | Q2 | Q10a,Q10b or Q11a,Q11b |
| Weightage | 15% | | 5% | 5% | 5% | 70% |

As indicated in the Table 3, for Mid-1 there are five questions with subdivision among the questions. Q1a, Q1b or Q2a, Q2b are based on course content related to CO1 & CO2, Q3a, Q3b or Q4a, Q4b are related to CO3 and Q5a, Q5b are related to CO4. Similarly, Questions in Mid-2 are based on course content related to CO4, CO5 & CO6. The marks obtained by the students are used to assess COs.

Similarly, Assignments, Quiz exam and Class test marks are also used for assessing COs as indicated in Table 3.

As indicated in the Table 3 total ten numbers of questions are given, out of which five best answered questions marks are used to assess the individual CO.

Rubrics for CO Assessment

- Percentage of marks obtained by each student in each assessment tool is calculated.
- Course Outcome will be achieved by the student if he/she scores more than 45% of marks in the corresponding assessment tool related to that CO.
- Level is determined for each CO from each tool after measuring the percentage of students scoring more than set target percentage of marks based on rubrics as shown in table 4.

4: Rubrics for CO Attainment level

| S.No. | Level | Description |
|-------|-------|---|
| 1 | 3 | If more than 80% of the students score above set target level |
| 2 | 2 | If more than 70% of the students score above set target level |
| 3 | 1 | If less than 70% of the students score above set target level |

- The overall CO level is determined by the weighted average of levels of attainment of that CO from all the internal and external assessment tools

Overall CO Level = (0.15* level from Mid exam) +(0.05*level from class test) + (0.05*level from assignment) + (0.05* level from Quiz) +(0.7*level from University End Examination)

The CO attainment levels for all the courses in the program are to be determined using the same procedure as described above.

Assessment Form

An assessment form is developed in excel sheet. The excel sheet contains four sheets and the details of each sheet is given below

- Sheet 1 contains the details of the maximum marks assigned to each assessment tool
- Sheet 2 contains the details of the marks obtained by each student for each question/tool in the internal examinations
- Sheet 3 contains the details of the marks obtained by each student for each question in the external examinations
- Sheet 4 contains the summary and assessment of course outcomes

Sample Assessment Sheet

Sheet 1

Sheet 1 shows the maximum marks distribution for each question for each tool.

| Class | Course | Q1aMI | Q1bMI | Q2aMI | Q2bMI | Q3aMI | Q3bMI | Q4aMI | Q4bMI | Q5aMI | Q5bMI | | Q1 FE | Q2a FE | Q2b FE | Q11a FE | Q11b FE | CLASS |
|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| IME-B | EC | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | | 10 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 36 |
| IME-B | EC | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | | 10 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 36 |

Fig. 1 Sheet including the maximum marks

Sheet 2

Sheet 2 shows the consolidated marks obtained by each student in each internal assessment tool.

| No. of Students | Course | Name of the Faculty | Academic Year | Semester | Class | Program | RegdNo | Name | Q1a M1 | Q1b M1 | Q1 M1 % | >45% | Or | Q2a M1 | Q2b M1 | Q2 M1 % | >45% | Q1or Q2 M1 % | >45% | | CT2 % | >45% | | |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------|---------------|----------|--------|---------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------|------|----|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--|
| 36 | Maximum | | | | | | | | 10 | 0 | 100 | | | 10 | 0 | 100 | | | 100 | | | 5 | 100 | |
| 36 | EC | P.SILPA | 2018-2019 | ODD | I.ME-B | B.Tech. In.ME | 18K61A0337 | I. LALITH RAM PAVAN | 6 | 0 | 60 | Y | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 60 | Y | | 4 | 80 | Y | |
| 36 | EC | P.SILPA | 2018-2019 | ODD | I.ME-B | B.Tech. In.ME | 18K61A0371 | P. RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD | 7 | 0 | 70 | Y | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 70 | Y | | 3 | 60 | Y | |
| 36 | EC | P.SILPA | 2018-2019 | ODD | I.ME-B | B.Tech. In.ME | 18K61A0372 | P. VEERA GANGADHAR | 9 | 0 | 90 | Y | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 90 | Y | | 5 | 100 | Y | |
| | | | | | | | | | 31 | | | | | 7 | | | 35 | | | | | 18 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 86.11 | | | | | 19.44 | | | 97.22 | | | | | 50.00 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | LEVEL | | | | | Q1 M1 | | | Q2 M1 | | | | | CT2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig. 2 Sheet including the marks obtained for each question in internal exams

Sheet 3

Sheet 3 shows the consolidated marks obtained by each student in external assessment.

| No. of Students | Class | Course | RegdNo | Name | Q1 10 | Q1 % 100 | >45% | Q2a 4 | Q2b 8 | Q2 % 100 | >45% | Q2or Q3 % 100 | >45% | | Q11a 6 | Q11b 6 | Q11 % 100 | >45% | Q10or Q11 % 100 | >45% |
|-----------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|-------|----------|------|---------------|------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|------|-----------------|------|
| 36 | I.ME-B | EC | 18K61A0337 | I. LALITH RAM PAVAN | 2 | 20 | N | 3 | 5 | 66.66667 | Y | 66.66667 | Y | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 25 | N |
| 36 | I.ME-B | EC | 18K61A0371 | P. RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD | 3 | 30 | N | 4 | 8 | 100 | Y | 100 | Y | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 66.66667 | Y |
| 36 | I.ME-B | EC | 18K61A0372 | P. VEERA GANGADHAR | 7 | 70 | Y | 4 | 8 | 100 | Y | 100 | Y | | 4 | 5 | 75 | Y | 75 | Y |
| | | | | | 0 | N | | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N |
| | | | | | 0 | N | | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N |
| | | | | | 0 | N | | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N |
| | | | | | 0 | N | | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 0 | N |
| | | | | | 8 | | | | | 16 | | 20 | | | | | 6 | | 18 | |
| | | | | | 22.22 | | | | | 44.44 | | 55.56 | | | | | 16.67 | | 50.00 | |
| | | | | | LEVEL | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| | | | | | Q1 | | | | | Q2 | | Q2or Q3 | | | | | Q11 | | Q10 or Q11 | |

Fig. 3 Sheet including the marks obtained for each question in external exams

Overall Assessment Sheet 4

Sheet 4 shows the overall assessment including the internal and external tools both direct and indirect. The sheet includes the following data

- Internal assessment
- External assessment
- Course End Feedback
- Actions taken and Observations

| Overall Levels | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|------------|------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| COs | MID | Assignment | Quiz | ClassTest | Final Exam | Average Level | COAF Level | Overall Level |
| CO1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.58 |
| CO2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.37 |
| CO3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.31 |
| CO4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.34 |
| CO5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 | 2 | 1.475 |
| CO6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 | 2 | 1.475 |
| Final Average Level | | | | | | 1.20 | 1.95 | 1.43 |
| Best COs Attained: CO1, CO5, CO6 | | | | | | | | |
| Least COs Attained: CO3, CO4, CO2 | | | | | | | | |
| Observations for Least Attainment | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Basic concepts of factors of corrosion should be revise | | | | | | | | |
| 2 In tutorials and assignments questions are not equally distributed for thermodynamics and corrosion | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Better understanding of thermodynamics | | | | | | | | |
| Actions to be Taken | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Lesson plan should be revised i.e. add one class to cover basic concepts of corrosion. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 In tutorials and assignments questions should be distributed equally for thermodynamics and corrosion | | | | | | | | |
| 3 More example of thermodynamic concepts were explained | | | | | | | | |

| COs | Average Level | Feed Back (COAF) | Overall |
|-----|---------------|------------------|---------|
| CO1 | 2.65 | 1.79 | 2.39 |
| CO2 | 2.7 | 1.72 | 2.41 |
| CO3 | 2.7 | 1.71 | 2.40 |
| CO4 | 2.5 | 1.71 | 2.26 |
| CO5 | 2.5 | 1.74 | 2.27 |
| CO6 | 2.5 | 1.74 | 2.27 |

Fig. 4 Sheet including overall assessment

Laboratory Assessment

Laboratory assessment is done to assess the student knowledge in performing the experiment. The theoretical knowledge gained through courses is implemented in performing the experiment.

Outcomes for laboratory are considered same as the associated theory course outcomes.

Day to day evaluation is performed for each student during the practical sessions. The assessment for laboratory is done by taking the following tools in consideration

1. Day to day evaluation
 - Observation for 10 marks
 - Viva Voce for 10 marks
 - Record for 10 marks
2. Internal Examination for 20 marks

Internal Assessment

- Marks obtained by each student for each experiment are consolidated in laboratory assessment form.
- He/she attains the outcome only if they score 80% of marks in each assessment tool.
- Percentage of attainment for each tool and for each experiment is calculated by considering the attained students and the total students
- The percentage of attainment for each experiment is mapped with the corresponding laboratory outcomes
- Average of each laboratory outcome including the day to day evaluation and internal exam are calculated

External Assessment

External assessment is performed based on the marks obtained by the student in the end practical examinations. This exam is conducted by the institute under the supervision of the external examiner allotted by the institute. The following procedure is used for external assessment

- The exam is conducted for 50 marks and the student is considered as attained if he/she scores 80% of marks in the exam.
- Percentage of attainment is calculated by considering the attained students and the total students
- The attained percentage is mapped to all the outcomes.

Overall Assessment

The overall laboratory outcome percentage is determined by the weighted average of levels of attainment of that outcome from all the internal and external assessment tools

Overall CO Level = $(0.3 * \text{Internal Assessment}) + (0.7 * \text{External Assessment})$

Level for each laboratory is determined considering the overall percentage.

Rubrics for determining the level is given in the following table

Table 5: Rubrics for laboratory outcome attainment level

| S.No. | Level | Description |
|-------|-------|---|
| 1 | 3 | If the overall percentage is more the 80% |
| 2 | 2 | If the overall percentage is in between 75% and 80% |
| 3 | 1 | If the overall percentage is less the 75% |

| S.No. | Regd. No. | Name | Exp-1 | | | Exp-2 | | | **** | Internal(20) | Overall Internal(50) | Final Exam(50) | Overall Attainment | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|---|
| | | | V(10) | NV(10) | W(10) | V(10) | NV(10) | W(10) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 18K61A0373 | DOREPALI HEMANTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 8 | | 14 | 39 | 44 | | |
| 38 | 18K61A0380 | YARRA RAVITEJA | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | | 12 | 37 | 27 | | |
| No. of Students Scored > Target Marks | | | 28 | 28 | 23 | 36 | 37 | 36 | | 19 | 20 | 19 | | |
| Total No. of Students | | | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | | 38 | 38 | 38 | | |
| % of Students Scored > Target Mark | | | 73.68 | 73.68 | 60.53 | 94.74 | 97.37 | 94.74 | | 50.00 | 52.63 | 50.00 | | |
| | | C116.1 | 73.68 | 73.68 | 60.53 | 94.74 | 97.37 | 94.74 | | 50.00 | 77.82 | 50.00 | 58.35 | 1 |
| | | C116.2 | | | | | | | | 50.00 | 90.98 | 50.00 | 62.29 | 1 |
| | | C116.3 | | | | | | | | 50.00 | 87.22 | 50.00 | 61.17 | 1 |
| | | C116.4 | | | | | | | | 50.00 | 69.74 | 50.00 | 55.92 | 1 |
| | | C116.5 | | | | | | | | 50.00 | 80.83 | 50.00 | 59.25 | 1 |
| | | C116.6 | | | | | | | | 50.00 | 76.07 | 50.00 | 57.82 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.0 | | |

Fig. 5 Sheet including the marks obtained by each student in lab

Course Outcome Assessment Form

Feedback is taken from the students at the end of the semester on each course. Online feedback is taken using Course outcome assessment form and results are analyzed. Sample questionnaire for course end survey is given below.

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the SASI Institute of Engineering & Technology website. The page is titled 'Technical English' and is a feedback questionnaire. The navigation menu on the left includes 'Dashboard', 'Site home', 'Site pages', 'Current course', 'Participants', 'Badges', 'General', 'News forum', 'Technical English', 'Engineering Mathematics-I', 'Engineering Chemistry', 'Basic Electrical Engineering', 'Constitution of India, Professional Ethics & H.', and a list of dates from 30 October to 7 January. The main content area has the title 'Technical English' and a sub-header 'Mode: Anonymous'. It contains several questions with radio button options for responses. The questions are: 'How effectively have you gained knowledge on scientific vocabulary?', 'Have you gained knowledge in writing clear sentences and paragraphs?', 'Faculty is not able to cover the topics effectively', and 'Do you have knowledge of writing different writing styles?'. The options for each question are: Not selected, Excellent, Very Good, Good, Satisfactory, and Not Satisfactory.

Fig. 6 Questioner of feedback for Technical English Course

8.4.2 Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses (5)

Direct Assessment

Direct Course Attainment Level

The proportional weightage of internal assessment and external assessment is set as 30:70 after having discussions in the department meetings.

Overall Course Level = (0.3*Course Internal Level) + (0.7* Course External Level)

Academic Year - 2018-19 Odd Semester

Table 6: Course Outcome Attainment (Direct Assessment)

| Year | Course Code | Course | Course Outcomes | | | | | | Direct Course Attainment |
|---------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| | | | CO1 | CO2 | CO3 | CO4 | CO5 | CO6 | |
| I B. Tech ME – I Semester | C111 | Technical English | 2.80 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 2.65 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 2.73 |
| | C112 | Engineering Mathematics - I | 1.35 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 |
| | C113 | Engineering Chemistry | 1.30 | 1.15 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.45 | 1.85 | 1.37 |
| | C114 | Basic Electrical Engineering | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.45 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.23 |
| | C115 | Constitution of India Professional Ethics & Human Rights | 1.20 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.25 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 |
| | L111 | English Communication Skills Lab | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | L112 | Engineering Chemistry Lab | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | L113 | Basic Electrical Engineering Lab | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Indirect Assessment

Table 7: Course Outcome Attainment (Indirect Assessment)

| Year | Course Code | Course | Course Outcomes | | | | | | Indirect Course Attainment |
|---------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------------------|
| | | | CO1 | CO2 | CO3 | CO4 | CO5 | CO6 | |
| I B. Tech ME - I Semester | C111 | Technical English | 2.48 | 2.49 | 2.41 | 2.52 | 2.51 | 2.47 | 2.48 |
| | C112 | Engineering Mathematics - I | 2.47 | 2.44 | 2.41 | 2.40 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.41 |
| | C113 | Engineering Chemistry | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.35 | 2.40 | 2.29 | 2.41 | 2.38 |
| | C114 | Basic Electrical Engineering | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | C115 | Constitution of India Professional Ethics & Human Rights | 2.09 | 2.15 | 2.05 | 2.02 | 2.01 | 2.08 | 2.07 |
| | L111 | English Communication Skills Lab | 2.47 | 2.49 | 2.47 | 2.47 | 2.52 | 2.39 | 2.47 |
| | L112 | Engineering Chemistry Lab | 2.47 | 2.47 | 2.54 | 2.52 | 2.58 | 2.37 | 2.49 |
| | L113 | Basic Electrical Engineering Lab | 1.90 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.90 | 2.00 |

Overall Assessment

Overall Course Attainment Level = (0.3* Indirect Course Attainment) + (0.7* Direct Course Attainment)

Indirect Assessment

Table 10: Course Outcome Attainment (Indirect Assessment)

| Year | Course Code | Course | Course Outcomes | | | | | | Direct Course Attainment |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| | | | CO1 | CO2 | CO3 | CO4 | CO5 | CO6 | |
| I B. Tech ME – II Semester | C121 | Engineering Mathematics-II | 2.16 | 2.15 | 2.18 | 2.13 | 2.07 | 2.09 | 2.13 |
| | C122 | Engineering Physics | 2.24 | 2.19 | 2.23 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 2.22 |
| | C123 | Programming for Problem Solving | 2.27 | 2.27 | 2.31 | 2.23 | 2.19 | 2.21 | 2.25 |
| | C124 | Engineering Graphics | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.62 |
| | C125 | Environmental Science | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.13 |
| | L121 | Engineering Physics Lab | 2.20 | 2.27 | 2.23 | 2.26 | 2.07 | 2.21 | 2.21 |
| | L122 | Programming for Problem Solving Lab | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.20 | 2.30 | 2.20 | 2.30 |
| | L123 | Work Shop Lab | 2.50 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.20 | 2.40 | 2.30 | 2.37 |

Overall Assessment

Overall Course Attainment Level = (0.3* Indirect Course Attainment) + (0.7* Direct Course Attainment)

Table 11: Overall Course Outcome Attainment

| Year | Course Code | Course | Direct Course Attainment | Indirect Course Attainment | Overall Course Attainment | Target | Deviation |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| I B. Tech - II Semester | C121 | Engineering Mathematics-II | 1.17 | 2.13 | 1.46 | 3.00 | 1.54 |
| | C122 | Engineering Physics | 1.13 | 2.22 | 1.45 | 2.50 | 1.05 |
| | C123 | Programming for Problem Solving | 1.30 | 2.25 | 1.58 | 2.75 | 1.17 |
| | C124 | Engineering Graphics | 1.26 | 2.62 | 1.67 | 3.00 | 1.33 |
| | C125 | Environmental Science | 1.29 | 2.13 | 1.54 | 2.80 | 1.26 |
| | L121 | Engineering Physics Lab | 1.00 | 2.21 | 1.36 | 2.80 | 1.44 |
| | L122 | Programming for Problem Solving Lab | 1.20 | 2.30 | 1.53 | 3.00 | 1.47 |
| | L123 | Work Shop Lab | 2.00 | 2.37 | 2.11 | 2.50 | 0.39 |

8.5 Attainment of Program Outcomes from first year courses (20)

8.5.1 Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO and/or PSO (15)

Program outcomes assessment refers to the measurement of students' achievement of program-level expected learning outcomes and the use of the results of these assessments to improve the program.

Target for POs:

Targets for each program outcome are set by considering the average value of mapping of all POs corresponding to a course. Sample sheet shows the target value calculation.

| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|----------------|--|
| 2 | S. No | Course Name | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 | Average/Target | |
| 3 | 1 | Technical English | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3.00 | |
| 4 | 2 | Engineering Mathematics-I | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.00 | |
| 17 | 15 | PPS Lab | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | | | 3 | 3 | | | 3.00 | |
| 18 | 16 | Work Shop Lab | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | 3 | 3 | | | 2.50 | |
| 19 | | PO Target Levels | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.63 | 2.25 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | | 3.00 | | |

Fig. 7 Sheet including Targets for POs

Program Outcomes (POs) Assessment

Program outcome assessment is performed by

- Direct Assessment

Direct Assessment

Direct attainment of POs is carried out by

- Results of Course Outcome Assessment
- Performance of Students in Laboratory tests

PO Attainment from Course Outcome Assessment

There are three steps in getting the PO attainment from the CO attainment. They are

- CO-PO Mapping
- CO Attainment
- Attainment of PO from CO attainment using weighted average of CO-PO Mapping and CO Attainment

CO-PO Mapping Strength

- Attainment of a PO/PSO depends both on the attainment levels of associated COs and the strength to which it is mapped
- It is necessary to determine the level (mapping strength) at which a particular PO/PSO is addressed by the course.
- Strength of mapping is defined at three levels: Low (1), Medium (2) and High (3)
- Level of PO is measured with the number of hours devoted to the COs which address the given PO

Table 12: No. of sessions allotted for each PO

| COs | Related POs | | No. of Sessions for each CO |
|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| | PO1 | PO2 | |
| CO1 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| CO2 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| CO3 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| CO4 | 5 | 8 | 8 |
| CO5 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| CO6 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Total Classes | 30 | 52 | 52 |

The above table describes the relation between POs and COs along with number of sessions allotted to each CO.

Mapping Strength is calculated based on the percentage of class sessions allotted to cover each PO. After calculating, the strength is assigned based on the following scale.

Table 13: Rubrics for PO Attainment Level

| Level | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3 | If $\geq 65\%$ of classroom sessions addressing a particular PO |
| 2 | If 30 to 65% of classroom sessions addressing a particular PO |
| 1 | If 5 to 30% of classroom sessions addressing a particular PO |
| Not Addressed | If $< 5\%$ of classroom sessions addressing a particular PO |

PO1 is addressed by CO1, CO2, CO2, CO3, CO4, CO5 and CO6. Hence total class sessions of PO1 is the sum of the class sessions of all COs addressed by that PO. Similarly Number of class sessions for all the POs is calculated and shown in following table.

Table 14: PO Mapping Strength

| PO | No. of Sessions | Percentage | Mapping Strength |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|
| PO1 | 30 | 58 | 2 |
| PO2 | 52 | 100.00 | 3 |
| Remaining POs and PSOs | 0 | 0 | 0 |

By following the above procedure CO-PO mapping strength is calculated for all the courses by the respective faculty members handling the course. Sample for one subject CO-PO mapping is shown in following table.

Table 15: CO PO Mapping

| Course | CO | Pos | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 |
| Engineering Mathematics-I | C112.1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | C112.2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | C112.3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | C112.4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | C112.5 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | C112.6 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

- Course outcome attainment level is assigned to the POs for which that particular course is mapping

Course outcome attainment levels measured for the courses are used for measuring the attainment of PO through CO.

Attainment of every PO is determined from every CO by considering the strength of the mapping of a particular CO to that PO and the level of attainment of that CO. PO attainment

Sample POs Attainment Sheet

| S.No. | Course | CO | POs | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 |
| 2 | Engineering Mathematics-I | C112.1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | C112.2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | C112.3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | C112.4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | C112.5 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | C112.6 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | | | 12 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Engineering Mathematics-I | C112.1 | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.2 | 1.38 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.3 | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.4 | 1.20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.5 | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.6 | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S.No. | Course | CO | POs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Engineering Mathematics-I | C112.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.2 | 2.8 | 4.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.4 | 2.4 | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.5 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C112.6 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | 15.6 | 23.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | CO Level Total | 12.0 | 18.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO Level | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig. 8 Sheet including weighted average procedure

POs Attainment

Table 8.16: POs Attainment through Courses

| Course Code | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| C111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.70 | - | - |
| C112 | 1.13 | 1.13 | | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| C113 | 1.65 | 1.23 | 1.23 | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| C114 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.27 | 1.20 | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| C115 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.13 | - | 1.10 | - | - | - | - |
| L111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| L112 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | - | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| L113 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| C121 | 1.17 | 1.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C122 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.09 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C123 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.28 | - | 1.31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C124 | 1.26 | - | 1.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.26 | - | 1.26 |
| C125 | 1.27 | 1.32 | 1.35 | - | - | - | 1.23 | - | - | - | - | - |
| L121 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| L122 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | - | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | - |
| L123 | 2.00 | 2.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.00 | 2.00 | - | - |
| Average | 1.24 | 1.21 | 1.19 | 1.07 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.37 | - | 1.26 |